

## 6.3. Relations: Formal Semantics

**1. Extensions of Relation Letters.** When providing a semantic interpretation for predicate letters in the previous chapter, we began with a domain of discourse populated by one or more objects, and then provided each predicate letter in the model with a (possibly empty) set of those objects to ‘apply’ to.

$\mathbb{D}$ : { **Neko, Lucretia** }

A: **Neko**                      B: **Lucretia**

C: **Jack**

$G^1$ : { **Lucretia** }     $I^1$ : { **Neko, Lucretia** }

$H^1$ : { **Neko, Jack** }     $J^1$ : {    }

So if “ $G^1$ ” stands for “is human”, “ $H^1$ ” for “is a cat”, “ $I^1$ ” for “is female” and “ $J^1$ ” for “is a unicorn”, then this model is a situation where Lucretia’s human, Lucretia and Neko are female, Neko and Jack are cats, and nobody is a unicorn. We could think of the extension of a predicate as all the ‘cases’ of that feature: all the examples (in this situation) of human-ness, of cat-hood, of womanicity, and of unicorn-ness.

That suggests a natural adaptation of the semantics to relations: the extension of a relation will again be just the cases of that relation appearing in a given situation. So for example, the extension of “likes” will be just the cases of liking occurring in that context. If in a certain situation Neko likes Jack and Jack likes Lucretia, there are two cases of liking going: one involving Neko and Jack, one involving Jack and Lucretia.

We might consider adding that to the model as follows (translating “likes” as “ $K^2$ ”).

$K^2$ : { (**Neko, Jack**), (**Jack, Lucretia**) }

But keep in mind a point made earlier: it may be true in a situation that “Neko likes Jack” but false in that situation that “Jack likes Neko”. So those two sentences

report **two different cases** of liking, which can't be slopped together in the semantics (on pain of confusing true sentences with false ones).

To emphasize the importance of who's doing what in a certain case, we use **ordered pairs** in the semantics to depict a case of a relation – marked as ordered by using angle brackets “<” and “>”. So just as “Neko likes Jack” and “Jack likes Neko” make different claims, the following two ordered pairs are **different** cases of liking – the first where Neko likes Jack, the second where Jack likes Neko.

**K<sup>2</sup>: { <Neko, Jack>, <Jack, Neko> }**

Likewise with a three-place relation: it's one thing for Trixie to give Old Reliable to Trixie, and something else entirely for Trixie to give Old Reliable to Kitty. In the following model, with only one **ordered triple** in the extension of “L<sup>3</sup>,” Kitty gave Old Reliable to Trixie and nobody gave anything else to anybody.

**L<sup>3</sup>abc: a gave b to c**

**℔: { Kitty, Old Reliable, Trixie }**

**D: Kitty                      E: Old Reliable**

**F: Trixie**

**L<sup>3</sup>: { <Kitty, Old Reliable, Trixie> }**

And in general: the semantic value of an *n*-place relation letter, in a particular model, is the **extension of that *n*-place relation letter**: a (possibly empty) **set of ordered *n*-tuples of objects** from the domain of that model (so: order pairs for a 2-place relation letter, ordered triples for a 3-place relation letter, and so on).<sup>1</sup>

**The semantic value of an *n*-place relation letter in model *M* is a set of zero or more ordered *n*-tuples of objects drawn from the domain of *M*.**

---

<sup>1</sup> Consistent with this, the extension of a **1-place relation letter** – that is, a **predicate letter** – is a (possibly empty) set of ordered 1-tuples of objects from the domain of the model in question. But a 1-tuple of objects is just: an object. (There's no difference between a one-object series or chain, and that object.) Hence the extension of a predicate letter is a (possibly empty) set of objects from the domain of the model – just as in Chapter Five.

An ordered n-tuple of objects can have the same object show up more than once. For instance, the following is an ordered pair where Neko holds both positions.

**<Neko, Neko>**

And a good thing, too: for in a situation where Neko likes herself, Neko is both the ‘liker’ and the ‘like-ee’. Hence a model where the sentence “Neko likes herself” is true will be a model where the above ordered pair shows up in the extension of “likes” (or rather: in the extension of the formal translation of “likes” – say, “ $L^2$ ”).

## **2. A Shorthand Notation for Models.**

[Then: dots and arrows shorthand]